



HIREFREN Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies (HJIS)

Reviewer Form

Reviewers are required to use the standard reviewer form when evaluating manuscripts. The form includes the following sections:

Manuscript Information: Title, manuscript ID, date assigned, date completed.

Please rate the following (5=excellent, 4= better, 3= very good, 2= good, 1= poor) 5, 4, 3, 2, 1

PAPER TITLE:

	Please rate the following (5=excellent, 1= poor)	5	4	3	2	1
1	Contribution to academic debate					
2	Structure of the paper					
3	Standard of English					
4	Appropriateness of the research/study method					
5	Relevance and clarity of drawing, graphs and tables					
6	Appropriateness of the abstract as a description of paper					
7	Appropriateness/ number of keywords					
8	Appropriateness of research Design					
9	Appropriateness of population, Sample technique and Sample					
10	Appropriateness of research tools for data analysis					
11	Discussion and conclusion					
12	Reference list, adequate and correctly cited					
13	Appropriateness of the contribution to knowledge					

Decision regarding the paper

- ☐ Accept the paper in its current format
- ☐ Accept the paper with minor changes
- ☐ Resubmit with major changes
- ☐ Decline the submission

General Comments: .

Written Comments:

- **Comments to Author:** Detailed, constructive feedback addressing the strengths and weaknesses of the manuscript, with specific suggestions for improvement. These comments will be shared with the author(s) in anonymised form.
- **Confidential Comments to Editor:** Any concerns about the manuscript that the reviewer wishes to communicate to the editor but not to the author, including recommendations regarding acceptance or rejection.

Conflict of Interest Declaration: Reviewers must declare any conflicts of interest, including financial, institutional, or personal relationships with any of the authors.

Reviewer Certification: By submitting the review, the reviewer confirms that they have read the manuscript in full, that their assessment is their own honest evaluation, and that they have not used artificial intelligence tools to generate substantive review content.